

Immune Network Behavior I: From Stationary States to Limit Cycle Oscillations

Rob J. De Boer
Ioannis G. Kevrekidis
Alan S. Perelson

SFI WORKING PAPER: 1992-05-023

SFI Working Papers contain accounts of scientific work of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the views of the Santa Fe Institute. We accept papers intended for publication in peer-reviewed journals or proceedings volumes, but not papers that have already appeared in print. Except for papers by our external faculty, papers must be based on work done at SFI, inspired by an invited visit to or collaboration at SFI, or funded by an SFI grant.

©NOTICE: This working paper is included by permission of the contributing author(s) as a means to ensure timely distribution of the scholarly and technical work on a non-commercial basis. Copyright and all rights therein are maintained by the author(s). It is understood that all persons copying this information will adhere to the terms and constraints invoked by each author's copyright. These works may be reposted only with the explicit permission of the copyright holder.

www.santafe.edu



SANTA FE INSTITUTE

Immune Network Behavior I: From Stationary States to Limit Cycle Oscillations.

Rob J. De Boer^{†‡}, Ioannis G. Kevrekidis[¶], and Alan S. Perelson[‡]

[†]Bioinformatica, University of Utrecht, Padualaan 8, 3584 CH Utrecht, The Netherlands

[‡]Theoretical Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA

[¶]Department of Chemical Engineering, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA

Submitted to: *Bull. Math. Biol.*

Abstract

We develop a model for the idiotypic interaction between two B cell clones. This model takes into account B cell proliferation, B cell maturation, antibody production, the formation and subsequent elimination of antibody-antibody complexes, and recirculation of antibody between the spleen and the blood. Here we investigate, by means of stability and bifurcation analysis, how each of the processes influences the model's behavior. After appropriate non-dimensionalization, the model consists of eight ordinary differential equations and a number of parameters. We estimate the parameters from experimental sources. Using a coordinate system that exploits the pairwise symmetry of the interactions between two clones, we analyze two simplified forms of the model and obtain bifurcation diagrams showing how their five equilibrium states are related. We show that the so-called immune states lose stability if B cell and antibody concentrations change on different time scales. Additionally, we derive the structure of stable and unstable manifolds of saddle-type equilibria, pinpoint their (global) bifurcations, and show that these bifurcations play a crucial role in determining the parameter regimes in which the model exhibits oscillatory behavior.

1. Introduction

Jerne (1974) postulated that the immune system functions as a network based upon idiotypic interactions among antibodies, B cells and T cells. In order to evaluate the role of network interactions in the operation of the immune system a number of models have been developed. (See Perelson (1988, 1989), Varela & Coutinho (1991) and De Boer *et al.* (1992a) for recent reviews.) In this study we formulate a series of increasingly realistic models of the smallest B cell network possible, that is, one that only involves interactions between an idiootype and its anti-idiootype.

The main motivation for undertaking this study is that in previous work by De Boer & Hogeweg (1989b), De Boer *et al.* (1990), Perelson (1989), and Stewart & Varela (1989, 1990) fluctuations were found to be the predominant mode of dynamical behavior in network models that take into account both B cells and antibody. The fluctuations were either truly periodic or chaotic. Although the models had multiple steady states, for the parameter values thought to characterize immune networks these steady states were not stable. Analysis of the dynamic behavior in these models indicated that the fluctuations were due to the existence of two time scales, one determined by the B cell lifetime and the other by the antibody lifetime in the serum. Experimental data suggest that idiootype concentrations may indeed fluctuate periodically or chaotically on a time scale of two cycles per month (Lundkvist *et al.*, 1989; Varela *et al.*, 1991).

These results cast doubt on our earlier work (De Boer, 1988; De Boer & Hogeweg, 1989a; Weisbuch *et al.*, 1990), as well as that of others (cf., Hoffmann, 1975; Richter, 1975, 1978; Segel & Perelson, 1988) studying somewhat simplified models lacking the distinction between B cells and antibody. The behavior of these simplified models is dominated by a number of stable steady states. The presence of multiple attractors allowed us to interpret the model's behavior in terms of "virgin", "immune" and "suppressed" states. By switching from a virgin state, in which the B cells are inactive, to an immune state the models can account for the phenomenon of immunological memory. This type of memory seems to be absent from models exhibiting large sustained oscillations in cell population sizes. Furthermore, the existence of suppressed states could account for the phenomenon of immunological tolerance.

This paper will reconsider the question of whether the predominant mode of behavior of an immune system regulated by idiotypic network interactions is expected to be chaotic/oscillatory or stationary. In order to make the model realistic we consider two additional processes, and hence two new time scales. First, we incorporate a maturation period to account for the time-lag involved in B cell differentiation. We implement this as the "gearing up" process introduced by Segel & Perelson (1989). Second, as in Perelson & Weisbuch (1992) we examine a two-compartment model of the immune system. Note that these two complications are not required for the apparently chaotic behavior of the model, but do change the parameter regimes in which such behavior is observed.

The gearing up process models the time-dependent aspects of antibody production. This involves a time lag associated with the differentiation of small stimulated B cells to the large lymphocyte and plasma cell states that are characteristic of antibody secreting cells. The

time scale of such a gearing up process is of the order of several days. Using the method of Segel & Perelson (1989) we construct a first order differential equation to describe gearing up. Other authors, e.g., Dibrov *et al.* (1977) and Fowler (1981), explicitly introduce a time delay τ such that the antibody production at time t depends on the B cell population, and its degree of stimulation, at a time $t - \tau$ in the past. However, since antibody production at time t depends on the B cells present at time t , our model seems more appropriate. The slow time scale that is introduced by incorporating the gearing up process will be shown to be destabilizing for the steady states.

Splitting the model into two compartments composed of the spleen and the bloodstream introduces a new time scale, the antibody residence time in the spleen (Perelson & Weisbuch, 1992). The spleen seems to be one of the primary lymphoid organs involved in idiotypic network interactions. Evidence for this includes the fact that in animals raised in a germ-free environment the lymph nodes atrophy and are depleted of lymphocytes, whereas the spleen has roughly the same percentage of activated lymphocytes as in conventionally reared animals (Hooijkaas, *et al.*, 1984; Pereira *et al.*, 1986). This activity is thought to be due to idiotypic interactions among components of the immune system. In the two-compartment model presented below, the residence time in the spleen becomes the most relevant time scale for the lifetime of antibody. Our calculations confirm the results of Perelson & Weisbuch (1992) that both long and short residence times in the spleen have destabilizing effects, and that stable equilibria are found for intermediate values of this residence time.

Responses to foreign antigens generally occur in lymph nodes. Thus, in modeling responses to foreign antigens one should include the set of lymph nodes as a compartment. Formally, the compartment model we introduce for the spleen can be used to model interactions in lymph nodes, parameters such as the volume and flow rate would however have to be chosen differently.

2. The Two Compartment AB Model with Gearing up

We have previously developed and studied a series of models for idiotypic B cell activation (De Boer *et al.*, 1990). The models differ in the specification of the activation process. In the simplest model we only consider B cells and ignore the fact that activation involves antibody molecules. In the "intermediate models" we incorporate antibodies but use a phenomenological description of the activation process involving antibody crosslinking. In our most detailed models we have considered the chemical reactions involved in receptor binding and crosslinking. We have called these models the *B models* (for B cell), the *AB models* (for antibody B cell), and the *ABC models* (for antibody B cell chemistry), respectively. In this paper we develop a more complete version of the AB model, called the *CABG model* (for compartmental AB model with gearing up), which incorporates the time-lag involved in B cell differentiation and which distinguishes between a spleen compartment and a peripheral compartment. For reasons of simplicity and better understanding of results, we will also study our previous models, which we here call the B model and the basic AB model.

In the CABG model we consider B cells localized in the spleen. These cells may become activated by interacting with anti-idiotypic antibody, upon which they proliferate and differentiate into antibody secreting cells. This maturation process takes a few days. Free antibody, produced by differentiated activated B cells, activates anti-idiotypic B cells, leaves the spleen compartment due to fluid flow through the organ, and reacts chemically with anti-idiotypic antibodies in either the spleen or the circulation to form *complexes*. These complexes, which are analogous to antigen-antibody complexes, are known to be removed from the system, for example by phagocytic cells such as macrophages. The rate of complex removal will turn out to be one of the crucial parameters of the model.

The CABG model, as well as some simplified versions of the model that we study, are derived from the combination of several previous models. We use the log bell-shaped activation function (see Fig. 1) that has been proposed as a phenomenological equation for the crosslinking process (Perelson & DeLisi, 1980; De Boer, 1988; De Boer *et al.*, 1990; Weisbuch *et al.*, 1990; De Boer & Perelson, 1991). The AB models make a distinction between B cells and antibody along the lines of several earlier models (Varela *et al.*, 1988; De Boer & Hogeweg, 1989b; De Boer *et al.*, 1990; Perelson, 1989; De Boer & Perelson, 1991). The models incorporate the gearing up function proposed by Segel & Perelson (1989). The two-compartment model of the immune system, one compartment being the spleen and the other the bloodstream and fluids in which antibodies reside, is the one proposed by Perelson & Weisbuch (1992). For a more detailed explanation of these models we refer to these earlier publications.

We assume that all of the B cells of interest are localized in the spleen, whereas antibody secreted by these cells enters the bloodstream. As a first approximation we will treat both compartments as well-stirred, and allow fluid flow at rate q between compartments. Because B cells generally need to interact with helper T cells and antigen presenting cells, both of which are present in high concentrations in the spleen, we feel that localizing the responding B cells to the spleen is more realistic than having B cells in both compartments. Let V_s be the volume available to antibodies in the spleen, let V_p be the available volume in the periphery, i.e., outside of the spleen, and let $\nu = V_s/V_p$ be the volume ratio. Thus, if q is expressed as a volume flow rate with units of volume/time, the average residence time of a molecule in the spleen is $\tau = V_s/q$, while the average residence time outside the organ is $V_p/q = \tau/\nu$.

In the AB models we use a phenomenological bell-shaped activation function

$$f(a) = \frac{a}{\theta_1 + a} \frac{\theta_2}{\theta_2 + a}, \quad (1)$$

where $\theta_2 \gg \theta_1$, see Fig. 1. The use of a bell-shaped activation function can be justified on the basis of empirical dose-response curves for antibody production (cf. Celada, 1971) or on the basis of a more microscopic theory in which one assumes that activation is proportional to the fraction of cell surface immunoglobulin receptors cross-linked (cf. Perelson, 1984). Segel and Perelson (1991) show that on the time scale of interest in immunological processes, hours to days, the chemical reactions involved in receptor binding and cross-linking can be considered to be fast, and the ABC model can formally be approximated

by the basic AB model under conditions where the amount of antibody per B cell is large so that antibody depletion due to cell binding can be ignored.

The function $f(a)$ is composed of two factors. The first factor increases from 0 to 1, reaching its half-maximal value at θ_1 , the second factor decreases from 1 to 0, reaching its half-maximal value at θ_2 . For $\theta_2 \gg \theta_1$, the maximum $\theta_2/(\sqrt{\theta_1} + \sqrt{\theta_2})^2$, is approximately one. This maximum is attained at $a = \sqrt{\theta_1\theta_2}$.

We write for B cells inside the spleen

$$\frac{db_1}{dt} = m + b_1(pf(a_2) - d_B), \quad (2a)$$

$$\frac{db_2}{dt} = m + b_2(pf(a_1) - d_B). \quad (2b)$$

Here m is a source term corresponding to the generation of new B cells in the bone marrow that then make their way to the spleen. B cells have a finite lifespan; d_B is the per capita death rate of B cells. The per capita rate of proliferation of stimulated B cells is p . The function $f(a)$ multiplying p can be viewed as representing the fraction of B cells stimulated to proliferate. Alternatively, one can take the view that all cells proliferate at rate $pf(a)$.

The change in the antibody concentrations in the spleen is described by

$$\frac{da_1}{dt} = sG_1b_1 - d_Aa_1 - d_Cv^2Ka_1a_2 - (a_1 - \hat{a}_1)/\tau, \quad (3a)$$

$$\frac{da_2}{dt} = sG_2b_2 - d_Aa_2 - d_Cv^2Ka_1a_2 - (a_2 - \hat{a}_2)/\tau. \quad (3b)$$

B cells when stimulated by anti-idiotypic antibody, are assumed to secrete antibody at per capita rate $sG(t)$, where s is a parameter and $G(t)$ the gearing up variable. We have assumed the rate at which antibody is produced is a function of time so as to model the differentiation of small B cells into antibody secreting cells. Antibody has a natural rate of turnover d_A . Antibody can also be eliminated by binding to anti-idiotypic antibody to form a complex, C , which is then assumed to be eliminated (by macrophages and other phagocytic cells) at rate d_C . Because complex formation is a chemical process that should occur on a time-scale of seconds to minutes, we have assumed that the concentration of complex is that at chemical equilibrium, i.e., $C = v^2Ka_1a_2$, where v is the valence of the antibody and K is the affinity of the idiotype for anti-idiotypic antibodies.

The last term $-(a_1 - \hat{a}_1)/\tau$ takes into account the loss of antibodies from the spleen and the supply of antibodies from the blood. If the concentration of antibody in the spleen is a_1 and fluid leaves the spleen at volume flow rate q , then qa_1 moles of antibody leave the spleen per unit time. The inverse residence time is $\tau^{-1} = q/V_s$, where V_s is the spleen volume. The loss of antibody per unit time from a volume V_s , then reduces the antibody concentration at rate $-qa_1/V_s = -a_1/\tau$. Similarly, when antibody at concentration \hat{a}_1 in the blood enters the spleen via fluid flow at rate q , it increases the concentration of antibody in the spleen at rate $q\hat{a}_1/V_s$.

The change in the antibody concentrations outside the spleen is described by

$$\frac{d\hat{a}_1}{dt} = \nu(a_1 - \hat{a}_1)/\tau - d_A\hat{a}_1 - d_C\nu^2 K\hat{a}_1\hat{a}_2, \quad (4a)$$

$$\frac{d\hat{a}_2}{dt} = \nu(a_2 - \hat{a}_2)/\tau - d_A\hat{a}_2 - d_C\nu^2 K\hat{a}_1\hat{a}_2. \quad (4b)$$

Because the external compartment has volume V_p , the antibody concentrations \hat{a}_i , $i = 1, 2$, change at rate $qa_i/V_p = \nu a_i/\tau$ due to inflow from the spleen and at rate $-q\hat{a}_i/V_p$ via outflow from this compartment. The other two terms account for the spontaneous decay of antibody and for its loss via complex formation, respectively.

The rate of production of antibody by B cells is dependent upon the gearing up process

$$\frac{dG_1}{dt} = g(f(a_2) - G_1), \quad (5a)$$

$$\frac{dG_2}{dt} = g(f(a_1) - G_2). \quad (5b)$$

We introduce a separate gearing up function for each clone so that $G_i(t)$ can be interpreted as the proportion of antibody-producing B cells of type i . Following Segel & Perelson (1989) we construct $G_i(t)$ as the solution of the first order differential equation (5), where g is a constant that determines the characteristic time for gearing up. At $t = 0$ we set $G_i(0) = 0$ so that there is no initial secretion. When a clone, say clone 1, becomes activated, $f(a_2)$ increases from 0 to a positive value and $G_1(t)$ increases. If a_2 were constant, $G_1(t)$ would increase towards $G_1 = f(a_2)$. However, as a_2 changes in time $G_1(t)$ follows with a lag. Formally, $G_1(t) = ge^{-gt} \int_0^t f(a_2(t'))e^{gt'} dt'$.

3. Parameter Estimates

First, we estimate the volumes of the two compartments. In humans the spleen is approximately 0.5% of the total body weight (Weiss, 1972). For a 70 kg human, a normal spleen would therefore be 350 g. Assuming the density of tissues to be 1 g/ml, this corresponds to 350 ml. Only a minor part of this will be extracellular fluid however. We estimate this to be 1%, or 3.5 ml. The amount of blood is estimated to be 75 ml per kg of body weight (Strand, 1978). For a 70 kg human, this amounts to a total blood volume of 5250 ml. This however consists of both cells and plasma fluid. The plasma volume is about 44 ml per kg of body weight (Strand, 1978). The total volume of plasma in a 70 kg body is therefore estimated to be 3080 ml. Thus, the ratio of the two volumes $\nu = 3.5/3080 \simeq 10^{-3}$. Some antibody could also leak out of the circulation into the tissue spaces but that generally only happens at sites of inflammation, and hence should not significantly change our rough estimate of ν .

A lower limit for the residence time of fluid in the spleen can be estimated from the rate at which blood flows through the organ. The total daily human cardiac output is about 6.0 l/min or 8640 l d⁻¹ (Landis & Pappenheimer, 1962). About three percent of this, i.e., 2.6×10^5 ml d⁻¹, goes through the spleen (Pabst, 1988). The average residence time, τ , of fluid in the spleen should therefore be 3.5 ml/ 2.6×10^5 ml d⁻¹ = 1.35×10^{-5} d (or approximately one second). Thus, an upper limit for the rate at which antibody leaves

the spleen, $1/\tau$, is $7.4 \times 10^4 \text{ d}^{-1}$. The actual rate is probably considerably lower. Before entering the blood stream antibody must move through the tissue and lymph, where it has opportunity to bind Fc receptors on cells. The binding to cells will substantially slow the movement of antibodies from their site of production to the blood. We know of no direct measurements of antibody residence times. There is no direct connection between the splenic artery and vein. However, the average residence time of cells brought into the spleen is thought to be several hours (Sprent, 1989).

Antibody concentrations are typically measured in $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$. One fully activated B cell can produce 10^4 molecules s^{-1} . Per day, i.e., per 86,400 s, this corresponds to $8.64 \times 10^8 / 6 \times 10^{23} = 1.44 \times 10^{-15}$ moles $\text{cell}^{-1}\text{d}^{-1}$. Using a molecular weight of 900,000 for IgM this is $1.44 \times 10^{-15} \times 9 \times 10^5 = 1.3 \times 10^{-9}$ g $\text{cell}^{-1}\text{d}^{-1}$. To transform this into a concentration we must multiply by the concentration of antibody producing cells. Here we shall measure B concentration in units of cells per spleen and hence we shall normalize the secretion rate by the volume of the spleen, i.e., the volume in which the antibodies are diluted. In μg per 3.5 ml volume of the spleen the rate of antibody production per cell per unit volume, $s = 1.3 \times 10^{-9} \mu\text{g cell}^{-1}\text{d}^{-1} / 3.5 \text{ ml} = 3.7 \times 10^{-10} \mu\text{g cell}^{-1}\text{d}^{-1}\text{ml}^{-1}$.

A high affinity IgM interaction maximally corresponds to an equilibrium constant $K = 10^6 \text{ l mol}^{-1}$. For a molecular weight of 900,000 this translates into $10^6 / 900,000 \simeq 1 \text{ l g}^{-1}$, or $K = 10^{-3} \text{ ml } \mu\text{g}^{-1}$. For IgM antibody the valence, $v = 10$. Antibodies are typically long-lived. We assume a normal decay rate, $d_A = 0.05 \text{ d}^{-1}$ (i.e., a lifetime of 20 days). Conversely, we assume that antibody complexes are quickly removed. A typical estimate for the rate constant of this, when modeled as a first order process, is $d_C = 10 \text{ d}^{-1}$, i.e., a life time of the order of two hours. Davies *et al.* (1990) study the formation and clearance of immune complexes and show that in their system the half-life is of the order of 10 minutes.

In our model we assume that all idiotypically activated B cells reside in the spleen. The bone marrow produces 10^{12} B cells d^{-1} . Because of cell division at the pre-B cell stage, we estimate that each clone will be approximately 10 cells. (Thus the 10^{12} cells d^{-1} would correspond to roughly 10^{11} different specificities.) Since most cells that leave the bone marrow home to the spleen, this corresponds to $m = 10$ cells d^{-1} for the entire spleen. If multiple clones express the idotype m would be higher (see Discussion). B cells have a lifetime of 1-2 days: $d_B = 0.5 \text{ d}^{-1}$. In the absence of stimulation, $f(a) = 0$, we will have $m/d_B = 20$ B cells per spleen per specificity. Further, stimulated B cells divide about every 16 h, i.e., $p = 1 \text{ d}^{-1}$. The gearing up parameter, $g = 0.2 \text{ d}^{-1}$, sets a time scale of antibody production of about 5 days.

In an attempt to estimate θ_1 and θ_2 we use previous results showing that the maximum of the crosslinking curve is located around $(vK)^{-1}$, where v is the valence of the antibody. For bivalent antibodies the maximum is exactly at $(vK)^{-1}$ (Perelson & DeLisi, 1980), whereas for higher valence antibodies it is displaced somewhat to lower values (Perelson, 1981). For IgM antibodies, i.e., $v = 10$, with an affinity $K = 10^{-3} \text{ ml } \mu\text{g}^{-1}$, maximum crosslinking is expected at an IgM concentration of $100 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ (i.e., approximately $10^{-5} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$). The lower threshold, θ_1 , should be at least one and possibly several orders of

magnitude lower than this, e.g., $\theta_1 = 10 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$. The upper threshold, θ_2 , should be one to several orders of magnitude higher than this, e.g., $\theta_2 = 10^3 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$.

4. Nondimensional CABG Model

We non-dimensionalize the CABG model by choosing a time scale based upon B cell lifetime, i.e., $T = td_B$. Further, let α be the typical concentration of antibody that gives rise to maximal crosslinking, i.e., $\alpha = (vK)^{-1}$. Then, let β be the B cell population that, when fully activated, can produce a steady state antibody concentration of $\alpha \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$. Since, under these conditions, $dA/dt = s\beta - d_A\alpha = 0$, we obtain a dimensionless scaling factor $\beta = \alpha d_A/s$. We have seen above that $\alpha \simeq 100 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$. Hence, $\beta \simeq 1.35 \times 10^4$. Further, let θ be the half width of the activation function when plotted versus the logarithm of the antibody concentration. Since the activation curve is symmetric around its maximum $A = 1$, where $A = a/\alpha$, when plotted on a logarithmic scale, $\theta = \theta_2/\alpha$ and $\theta^{-1} = \theta_1/\alpha$. Then the model simplifies into

$$f(A) = \frac{A}{\theta^{-1} + A} \frac{\theta}{\theta + A}, \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{dB_1}{dT} = \sigma + B_1(\rho f(A_2) - 1), \quad (7a)$$

$$\frac{dB_2}{dT} = \sigma + B_2(\rho f(A_1) - 1), \quad (7b)$$

$$\frac{dA_1}{dT} = \delta(B_1 G_1 - A_1) - \mu A_1 A_2 - \lambda(A_1 - \hat{A}_1), \quad (8a)$$

$$\frac{dA_2}{dT} = \delta(B_2 G_2 - A_2) - \mu A_1 A_2 - \lambda(A_2 - \hat{A}_2), \quad (8b)$$

$$\frac{d\hat{A}_1}{dT} = \nu\lambda(A_1 - \hat{A}_1) - \delta\hat{A}_1 - \mu\hat{A}_1\hat{A}_2, \quad (9a)$$

$$\frac{d\hat{A}_2}{dT} = \nu\lambda(A_2 - \hat{A}_2) - \delta\hat{A}_2 - \mu\hat{A}_1\hat{A}_2, \quad (9b)$$

$$\frac{dG_1}{dT} = \gamma(f(A_2) - G_1), \quad (10a)$$

$$\frac{dG_2}{dT} = \gamma(f(A_1) - G_2), \quad (10b)$$

where

$$A_i = a_i/\alpha, \quad \hat{A}_i = \hat{a}_i/\alpha, \quad B_i = b_i/\beta, \quad \delta = d_A/d_B, \quad \sigma = mvKs/(d_A d_B), \quad \rho = p/d_B, \\ \mu = vd_C/d_B, \quad \lambda = 1/(\tau d_B), \quad \gamma = g/d_B, \quad \alpha = (vK)^{-1}, \quad \beta = d_A/(svK).$$

Based upon the parameter values derived above, we estimate $\theta = 10$, $\delta = 0.1$, $\sigma = 1.48 \times 10^{-3}$, $\rho = 2$, $\mu = 200$, $\nu = 10^{-3}$, $\lambda \leq 1.5 \times 10^5$, $\gamma = 0.4$. Note that changing the affinity K of the idiotypic interaction only affects σ and the scales used to measure antibody and B cells. Thus, for $\sigma = 0$, the model behavior becomes independent of affinity.

The CABG model, Eqs. (6-10), consists of eight differential equations and contains eight non-dimensional parameters. In order to understand the behavior of the model we will first examine two simpler models derived from the full model by letting certain parameters approach either zero or infinity. Further, we will exploit the inherent symmetry of the model with respect to clones 1 and 2 by using a new coordinate system. In this paper we describe basic properties of the steady states and the dynamic behavior of the simplified models. In a second paper (De Boer, Kevrekidis, and Perelson, 1993), called Part II, we will build on the results obtained here and study the detailed behavior of the full model.

5. The Basic AB Model

We have previously studied, via numerical methods, a simplified version of the CABG model with four equations and five parameters, which we now call the basic AB model (De Boer *et al.*, 1990). Here as a first step in the analysis of the full eight equation CABG model, we return to this model. The CABG model can be reduced to this basic AB model by setting $\lambda = 0$ and $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$, and choosing $\hat{A}_1(0) = \hat{A}_2(0) = 0$. This means that there is effectively only one compartment, i.e., the spleen, and no gearing up is required for antibody production. For these parameter values, $\hat{A}_1(t) = \hat{A}_2(t) = 0$. Further, $G_1 \rightarrow f(A_2)$ and $G_2 \rightarrow f(A_1)$. Thus, the basic AB model is composed of Eq. (6) for $f(A)$, Eqs. (7a,b) for B_1 and B_2 , and

$$\frac{dA_1}{dT} = \delta(B_1 f(A_2) - A_1) - \mu A_1 A_2, \quad (11a)$$

$$\frac{dA_2}{dT} = \delta(B_2 f(A_1) - A_2) - \mu A_1 A_2. \quad (11b)$$

In order to pinpoint the symmetry of this model more closely, we define another coordinate system. First, since the populations expand several orders of magnitude we change to logarithmic variables $b = \ln B$, $a = \ln A$. In logarithmic coordinates we obtain for the B cells

$$\frac{db_1}{dT} = \sigma e^{-b_1} + \rho f(e^{a_2}) - 1, \quad (12a)$$

$$\frac{db_2}{dT} = \sigma e^{-b_2} + \rho f(e^{a_1}) - 1, \quad (12b)$$

and for the antibodies

$$\frac{da_1}{dT} = \delta(f(e^{a_2})e^{b_1 - a_1} - 1) - \mu e^{a_2}, \quad (13a)$$

$$\frac{da_2}{dT} = \delta(f(e^{a_1})e^{b_2 - a_2} - 1) - \mu e^{a_1}, \quad (13b)$$

where

$$f(e^a) = \frac{e^a}{\theta^{-1} + e^a} \frac{\theta}{\theta + e^a}. \quad (14)$$

Second, we define $b_d = b_1 - b_2$, $a_d = a_1 - a_2$, i.e., the *difference* between the log concentrations (and, hence, the quotient of the concentrations), and $b_s = b_1 + b_2$, and $a_s = a_1 + a_2$, i.e., the *sum* of the log concentrations (and, hence, the product of the concentrations).

Thus, $b_d = 0$ whenever $b_1 = b_2$ and $a_d = 0$ whenever $a_1 = a_2$. In the new coordinate system, which we call the *symmetric logarithmic* (SL) coordinate system, we obtain for the B cells

$$\frac{db_d}{dT} = \sigma(e^{-b_1} - e^{-b_2}) - \rho(f(e^{a_1}) - f(e^{a_2})) , \quad (15a)$$

$$\frac{db_s}{dT} = \sigma(e^{-b_1} + e^{-b_2}) + \rho(f(e^{a_1}) + f(e^{a_2})) - 2 , \quad (15b)$$

and for the antibodies

$$\frac{da_d}{dT} = \delta(f(e^{a_2})e^{b_1-a_1} - f(e^{a_1})e^{b_2-a_2}) - \mu(e^{a_2} - e^{a_1}) , \quad (16a)$$

$$\frac{da_s}{dT} = \delta(f(e^{a_2})e^{b_1-a_1} + f(e^{a_1})e^{b_2-a_2} - 2) - \mu(e^{a_2} + e^{a_1}) , \quad (16b)$$

where $b_1 = (b_d + b_s)/2$ and $b_2 = (b_s - b_d)/2$, and $a_1 = (a_d + a_s)/2$ and $a_2 = (a_s - a_d)/2$.

6. The B Model

We have previously proposed an even simpler model (De Boer, 1988; De Boer & Hogeweg, 1989a; De Boer *et al.*, 1990; Weisbuch *et al.*, 1990). We now call this model the B model (for B cell model). Although the B model does not make a distinction between antibody and B cells, the steady states of the B model are very similar to those of the AB models. The B model has the advantage that its steady states can be obtained analytically, and their stability is easily analyzed. Understanding the AB models is greatly facilitated by examining the steady states of the B model.

The B model has a similar log bell-shaped activation function

$$f(b) = \frac{b}{\theta_1 + b} \frac{\theta_2}{\theta_2 + b} , \quad (17)$$

and very similar B cell equations

$$\frac{db_1}{dt} = m + b_1(pf(b_2) - d_B) , \quad (18a)$$

$$\frac{db_2}{dt} = m + b_2(pf(b_1) - d_B) . \quad (18b)$$

Thus, in the B model, we ignore antibodies and assume that B cells activate each other according to the phenomenological activation function $f(b)$.

We non-dimensionalize the B model by a procedure similar to the one we used above for the AB model, i.e., we let $T = td_B$ and we scale B cells by $\beta = \sqrt{\theta_1\theta_2}$, the maximum of $f(b)$. We obtain

$$f(B) = \frac{B}{\theta^{-1} + B} \frac{\theta}{\theta + B} , \quad (19)$$

$$\frac{dB_1}{dT} = \sigma + B_1(\rho f(B_2) - 1) , \quad (20a)$$

$$\frac{dB_2}{dT} = \sigma + B_2(\rho f(B_1) - 1) , \quad (20b)$$

where $B_i = b_i/\beta$, $\sigma = m/(\beta d_B)$, and $\rho = p/d_B$. In our previous work we analyzed this model for $m = 1$ cells d^{-1} , $p = 1$ d^{-1} , $d_B = 0.5$ d^{-1} , $\theta_1 = 10^2$ cells, and $\theta_2 = 10^4$ cells. Using these parameter values we find $\beta = 10^3$, $\sigma = 0.002$, $\rho = 2$, and $\theta = 10$. For these parameters this model has three stable and two unstable equilibria (see Fig. 2a).

We also define the B model in the symmetric logarithmic (SL) coordinate system by changing to logarithmic variables $b = \ln B$, i.e.,

$$\frac{db_1}{dT} = \sigma e^{-b_1} + \rho f(e^{b_2}) - 1, \quad (21a)$$

$$\frac{db_2}{dT} = \sigma e^{-b_2} + \rho f(e^{b_1}) - 1, \quad (21b)$$

and then defining $b_d = b_1 - b_2$ and $b_s = b_1 + b_2$. In this coordinate system the B model becomes

$$\frac{db_d}{dT} = \sigma(e^{-b_1} - e^{-b_2}) - \rho(f(e^{b_1}) - f(e^{b_2})), \quad (22a)$$

$$\frac{db_s}{dT} = \sigma(e^{-b_1} + e^{-b_2}) + \rho(f(e^{b_1}) + f(e^{b_2})) - 2, \quad (22b)$$

where $b_1 = (b_d + b_s)/2$, and $b_2 = (b_s - b_d)/2$. The activation function becomes

$$f(e^b) = \frac{e^b}{\theta^{-1} + e^b} \frac{\theta}{\theta + e^b}. \quad (23)$$

7. Classification of Steady States

In our previous work we established that the B and basic AB models have similar steady states (De Boer, 1988; De Boer & Hogeweg, 1989a; De Boer *et al.*, 1990; Weisbuch *et al.*, 1990; Perelson & Weisbuch, 1992). First, there exist steady states that we call *virgin* states. A virgin state is symmetric, i.e., $b_1 = b_2$ or $b_d = 0$. In a virgin state the system may be considered to be at rest: B cell populations and/or antibody populations are small, too small to be able to evoke any significant proliferation. In terms of the model equations this means that $f(\cdot) \approx 0$, and hence that $b_i \approx m/d_B$, i.e., $B_i \approx \sigma$, and that $b_s = 2 \ln \sigma$ and $b_d = 0$.

Second, we have described four *activated* steady states in which B cell populations are enlarged by proliferation, and, for the AB models, antibody is being produced. In the activated states the contribution of the B cell source from the bone marrow, σ , is typically negligible because B cells are being renewed by continuous proliferation. In terms of the B cell Eqs. (7) and/or (20), and of Fig. 1, such a proliferative equilibrium is reached when $f(h) \approx 1/\rho$, where the field, h , is either A_i (in Eq. 7) or B_i (in Eq. 19)*. Because the rate of B cell proliferation must be greater than the rate of B cell death to obtain clonal expansion during an immune response, $\rho > 1$. Further, since $f(h)$ is a hump shaped function with $0 \leq f(h) < 1$, we typically find two equilibria for two different values of h . The first equilibrium, the *immune* state, corresponds to $h \approx \theta^{-1}$. To see this, note that

* For small positive values of σ the steady state is obtained when $f(h)$ is somewhat less than $1/\rho$.

for $h \approx \theta^{-1} \ll \theta$ the second factor in $f(h)$, $\theta/(\theta + h) \approx 1$. Thus the bell-shaped function can be approximated by the first factor, and we obtain

$$f(h) \approx \frac{h}{\theta^{-1} + h} \approx \frac{1}{\rho} \quad \text{hence} \quad h \approx \frac{1}{\theta(\rho - 1)}. \quad (24a)$$

The state is called “immune” because the cells are proliferating, and because increasing the degree of stimulation, h , will increase the rate of proliferation (Fig. 1).

The second equilibrium, the *suppressed state*, corresponds to $h \approx \theta$. For $h \approx \theta \gg \theta^{-1}$, the first factor in $f(h)$, $h/(\theta^{-1} + h) \approx 1$. Thus the bell-shaped function can be approximated by the second factor, and we obtain

$$f(h) \approx \frac{\theta}{\theta + h} \approx \frac{1}{\rho} \quad \text{hence} \quad h \approx \theta(\rho - 1). \quad (24b)$$

Although cells are proliferating, we call this a suppressed state because increasing the degree of stimulation, h , decreases the rate of proliferation (Fig. 1). For $\rho \approx 2$ the two solutions, Eqs. (24a,b), reduce to $h \approx \theta^{-1}$ and $h \approx \theta$, respectively. This provides the equilibrium values of B_i in the B model, and of A_i in the basic AB model.

In both the CABG model and basic AB model we derive the equilibrium values of B_i by solving Eqs. (11a,b),

$$\delta(B_1 f(A_2) - A_1) - \mu A_1 A_2 = 0, \quad (25a)$$

$$\delta(B_2 f(A_1) - A_2) - \mu A_1 A_2 = 0. \quad (25b)$$

By Eqs. (24a,b), $f(A_1) \approx f(A_2) \approx 1/\rho$, in the activated equilibria. We thus obtain

$$B_1 \approx \rho A_1 \left(1 + \frac{\mu}{\delta} A_2\right), \quad (26a)$$

and

$$B_2 \approx \rho A_2 \left(1 + \frac{\mu}{\delta} A_1\right), \quad (26b)$$

where A_1 and A_2 are given by Eqs. (24a,b). For $\mu = 0$, in the activated equilibria $B_1 = \rho A_1$ and $B_2 = \rho A_2$, i.e., B_i and A_i are proportional to each other. When B_i and A_i are proportional they need not be treated separately, and our simplest B model would be sufficient. However since we generally have $\mu \neq 0$, B cell and antibody populations are not proportional (see also De Boer & Perelson, 1991). A more thorough analysis of the steady states in the B and basic AB models will be given in sections 8 and 9. Our goal here was simply to characterize them as virgin, immune or suppressed.

Since we have an immune and a suppressed equilibrium for each of the two clones, we typically have four *activated equilibria*. In the activated equilibria clones proliferate, and contain more cells than clones in the virgin state $B_i \approx \sigma$. Clones in the virgin state are therefore called “L” for low, and clones in an activated state are either “M” for moderate (i.e., for θ^{-1}), or “H” for high (i.e., for θ). The model has two asymmetric equilibria in which one clone is immune and the other is suppressed (see Figs. 2 and 4). Here one clone is “high” and the other is “moderate”. We call these states “HM” and “MH”. These asymmetric states have also been called “immune” states, since the clone that is high can respond to antigen very efficiently. Further, in B models such immune states can

be obtained by perturbing the virgin state with antigen (cf. Weisbuch *et al.*, 1990). We shall also refer to these asymmetric states as immune states when no confusion with the state of a single clone will arise. Note that in an asymmetric equilibrium the high clone has a moderate field, i.e., is immune, and that the moderate clone has a high field, i.e., is suppressed. The model also has two symmetric equilibria in which both clones are immune or suppressed (see Figs. 2 and 4). These are called the “HH” and “MM” states. Note that in the symmetric equilibria high clones have high fields, i.e., are suppressed, and that moderate clones have moderate fields, i.e., are immune. Thus, high or low levels do not correlate with the immune or suppressed state. To determine whether a clone will grow under the influence of further stimulation one must examine its field, not its population level.

In the SL coordinate system the four activated states can easily be recognized as states where one of the variables equals zero. In the symmetric HH and MM states $A_1 = A_2$ and/or $B_1 = B_2$, which can be recognized by $a_d = 0$ and/or $b_d = 0$. In the asymmetric states, HM and MH, the two fields, h_i , are of order θ^{-1} and θ . Thus $a_s \approx 0$ or $b_s \approx 0$, i.e., the sum of the logarithmic variables $a_s = a_1 + a_2$ or $b_s = b_1 + b_2$, is approximately $\ln \theta + \ln 1/\theta = 0$.

8. Stability and Bifurcation Analysis of the B Model.

8.1. Steady States. The precise values of the steady states of the B model can be determined analytically. Setting the left hand sides of Eqs. (20a,b) to zero and substituting Eq. (19) yields

$$0 = \sigma(\theta^{-1} + B_2)(\theta + B_2) + B_1[\rho B_2\theta - (\theta^{-1} + B_2)(\theta + B_2)] , \quad (27a)$$

$$0 = \sigma(\theta^{-1} + B_1)(\theta + B_1) + B_2[\rho B_1\theta - (\theta^{-1} + B_1)(\theta + B_1)] . \quad (27b)$$

Solving Eq. (27a) for B_1 gives

$$B_1 = \frac{\sigma(\theta^{-1} + B_2)(\theta + B_2)}{(\theta^{-1} + B_2)(\theta + B_2) - \rho\theta B_2} . \quad (28)$$

Substituting Eq. (28) into Eq. (27b) and rearranging yields a fifth order polynomial in B_2 that can be factored into the product of a cubic and a quadratic polynomial. The roots of these polynomials give the five possible steady states. The cubic can be derived directly from Eqs. (27) in the following manner. Subtracting Eq. (27b) from (27a), we see $B_1 = B_2 \equiv B$ is a solution of the resulting equation. Substituting B for B_1 and B_2 into Eq. (27a) or (27b) yields the cubic equation

$$(\sigma - B)(\theta^{-1} + B)(\theta + B) + \rho\theta B^2 = 0 ,$$

or equivalently

$$B^3 + [\theta + \theta^{-1} - \sigma - \rho\theta]B^2 + [1 - \sigma(\theta + \theta^{-1})]B - \sigma = 0 . \quad (29)$$

From Descartes' rule of signs it follows that in order for Eq. (29) to have three real positive roots, it is necessary that there be three sign changes. Thus it is necessary that (i) the coefficient of B^2 be negative, i.e., $\theta + \theta^{-1} - \sigma - \rho\theta < 0$ and (ii) the coefficient of B be

positive, i.e. $\sigma < [\theta + \theta^{-1}]^{-1}$. For our standard values, $\rho = 2$, $\theta = 10$ and $\sigma = 0.002$, both of these conditions are met. However, with $\theta = 10$, condition (ii) will not be met if $\sigma \geq 0.1$.

Solutions of Eq. (29) yield the three possible *symmetric* steady states in which $B_1 = B_2$. These are the states that we previously have called LL, MM, and HH. For certain parameter values two of the real positive roots of Eq. (29) will be equal and only two steady states will exist. The condition for the equality of roots is well known (cf. Abramowitz & Stegun, 1965) and are not repeated here. However, we will see below in our numerical work that with $\sigma = 0.02$ and $\rho = 2$, this condition is met and the HH and MM states merge when $\theta = 2.331$, and that the LL and MM states merge when $\theta = 8.647$. From Eq. (29) we can also see that the virgin state, LL, is not exactly at $B = \sigma$. Neglecting the quadratic and cubic terms in Eq. (29) we see that a better approximation to the virgin state is $B = \sigma/(1 - \sigma[\theta + \theta^{-1}])$.

Using Mathematica (Wolfram Research Inc.) to analyze the fifth order polynomial that results when Eq. (28) is substituted into Eq. (27b), we find that the quadratic polynomial is

$$\begin{aligned} & [(\rho - 1)\sigma\theta - (\sigma^2 + 1) - \sigma\theta^{-1}]B_2^2 \\ & + [(\rho - 1)\sigma\theta^2 + (\rho - 1 - \sigma^2)\theta + (\rho - 2)\sigma - (\sigma^2 + 1)\theta^{-1} - \sigma\theta^{-2}]B_2 \\ & - (\sigma + \theta)(\sigma + \theta^{-1}) = 0 \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

The solutions of Eq. (30) yield B_2 in the asymmetric steady states, HM and MH. B_1 in these steady states is then found from Eq. (28).

From this analysis we see that there are at most three symmetric and two asymmetric steady states. By solving the cubic and quadratic equations (29) and (30) we can obtain the values of B_1 and B_2 for these steady states. We can also analyze the conditions under which these various steady states merge and disappear. For example, when the discriminant of the quadratic Eq. (30) is zero, its two real roots coincide. If the discriminant becomes negative there will be no asymmetric real solutions. Because the solutions to both the quadratic and cubic are algebraically complicated, we will study the properties of these steady states numerically.

8.2. Stability of Steady States. From Eq. (20) the stability of the steady states is governed by the eigenvalues of the following Jacobian matrix

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} \rho f(\bar{B}_2) - 1 & \rho \bar{B}_1 f'(\bar{B}_2) \\ \rho \bar{B}_2 f'(\bar{B}_1) & \rho f(\bar{B}_1) - 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (31)$$

where \bar{B}_i denotes the steady state value of B_i , $i = 1, 2$, and $f'(\bar{B}_i)$ denotes the value of the derivative of f evaluated at B_i . The eigenvalues are the solution of

$$\lambda^2 - \text{tr}(J)\lambda + \det(J) = 0, \quad (32)$$

where $\text{tr}(J)$ and $\det(J)$ are the trace and the determinant of J , respectively. Let λ_1 and λ_2 be the two solutions of Eq. (32). A stable steady state, which is characterized by both λ_1 and λ_2 with negative real parts, requires

$$\text{tr}(J) < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \det(J) > 0. \quad (33)$$

From Eq. (20), at steady state $\rho f(\bar{B}_2) - 1 = -\sigma/\bar{B}_1$, and $\rho f(\bar{B}_1) - 1 = -\sigma/\bar{B}_2$. Hence

$$\text{tr}(J) = -\left(\frac{\sigma}{\bar{B}_1} + \frac{\sigma}{\bar{B}_2}\right) \leq 0, \quad (34a)$$

while

$$\det(J) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\bar{B}_1 \bar{B}_2} - \rho^2 \bar{B}_1 \bar{B}_2 f'(\bar{B}_1) f'(\bar{B}_2). \quad (34b)$$

For either of the asymmetric steady states, HM and MH, \bar{B}_1 and \bar{B}_2 lie on opposite sides of the bell shaped curve (see Fig. 1). Hence $f'(\bar{B}_1) f'(\bar{B}_2) < 0$ and $\det(J) \geq 0$. For the case in which there is a supply of cells from the bone marrow, $\sigma > 0$. Consequently, $\text{tr}(J) < 0$, $\det(J) > 0$, and we conclude that both asymmetric steady states are stable. In the singular case in which there is no supply of cells, $\sigma = 0$, $\text{tr}(J) = 0$, and $\det(J) > 0$ (assuming $\rho \neq 0$). Under these conditions, Eq. (32) implies $\lambda_i = \pm \sqrt{-\det(J)}$, $i = 1, 2$, and the asymmetric steady states will be neutrally stable with purely imaginary eigenvalues.

A similar analysis applies to the symmetric steady states except now $f'(\bar{B}_1) f'(\bar{B}_2) > 0$, since $\bar{B}_1 = \bar{B}_2$, and $\det(J)$ may be positive or negative depending on the relative sizes of the two terms. In the virgin state, LL, $\bar{B}_i \simeq \sigma$ and the first term on the right hand side of Eq. (34b) is of order 1, while the second term is of order $\rho^2 \sigma^2 \left(\frac{\theta^{-1}}{(\theta^{-1} + \sigma)^2}\right)^2$. For our typical parameters, $\rho = 2$, $\theta^{-1} = 0.1$, $\sigma < \theta^{-1}$, the second term is small compared with 1, $\det(J) > 0$, and we conclude that the virgin state is stable.

For the HH and MM state, \bar{B}_i is of order θ and θ^{-1} , respectively. In these cases, the first term on the right hand side of Eq. (34b) is smaller than the second and $\det(J) < 0$. These states are thus unstable (saddle-type) with one positive and one negative eigenvalue. For any set of parameters, precise calculations can be performed using the formulas given above. The results that we have given are typical for the parameter regime of interest but clearly changes in stability will occur with the relative sizes of the two terms in Eq. (34b). Formally, we can conclude that for symmetric steady states in which $\bar{B}_1 = \bar{B}_2 = \bar{B}$

$$\frac{\rho^2 \bar{B}^4 f'(\bar{B})^2}{\sigma^2} \begin{cases} < 1 \Rightarrow \det(J) > 0 \Rightarrow \text{stable} \\ > 1 \Rightarrow \det(J) < 0 \Rightarrow \text{unstable} \end{cases}$$

8.3. Nullclines. In order to become familiar with the possible steady states of the model and with the SL coordinate system, we depict in Fig. 2 the nullclines of the B model in both the original and SL coordinate systems. (A nullcline is the locus of points along which a time derivative is zero.) The nullclines are drawn for $\theta = 10$ in Figs. 2a-d, and for $\theta = 9$ in Figs. 2e,f. The heavy lines are the $B'_2 = 0$ nullclines and the $b'_s = 0$ nullclines, respectively. To facilitate the identification of the qualitatively different regions, we shaded the area where $B'_2 > 0$ and the area where $b'_s > 0$. Obviously, the nullclines of the two

coordinate systems are different. The two systems only correspond at the intersections of the nullclines, i.e., at the steady states. As is shown by the intersections of the nullclines, the B model has five equilibrium states for these parameters. In Figs. 2c-f, stable equilibria are marked with a black square, and unstable equilibria are marked with an open square. The trajectories, i.e., the dotted lines, in Figs. 2a and 1b, show how the LL, HM, and MH states are attained from various initial conditions. In accordance with Eqs. (24a,b), the four activated states HM, MH, MM, and HH are located around $B_i \approx \theta$ and/or $B_i \approx 1/\theta$, or around $b_d = 0$ and/or $b_s = 0$, respectively. The symmetric virgin state, "LL", is located around $B_1 = B_2 = \sigma$ in the old coordinate system, and around $b_d = 0$ and $b_s = 2 \ln \sigma$ in the SL coordinate system.

The $b'_d = 0$ nullclines, the light lines in Figs. 2b,d,f roughly divide the phase space in its four corners: b_d decreases when $b_d > 0$ and $b_s < 0$, and when $b_d < 0$ and $b_s > 0$. In other words, if one clone is large it decreases when the other is small (due to a lack of stimulation), and if the clone is small it decreases when the other is large (due to suppression).

Fig. 2f shows that for $\theta = 9$ the $b'_s = 0$ nullcline changes shape and forms an ellipsoid through the four activated states instead of an ellipsoid through the MM and LL state. We show this situation because we will find a similar nullcline situation in the AB models. Despite this change in the nullclines, Figs. 2d and 2f appear qualitatively similar: they have the same set of equilibrium states and the vector field has remained the same in almost all points of the phase space. Indeed, the nullclines of the original coordinate system hardly change by setting $\theta = 9$, see Fig. 2e. The square region where $B'_1 > 0$ and $B'_2 > 0$ that is enclosed by the four activated states now corresponds to the ellipsoid $b'_s = 0$ nullcline. The size of the $b'_s > 0$ region in the areas where only one clone increases is smaller however.

8.4. Saddle invariant manifolds and basins of attraction. In order to understand the ultimate fate of transients (i.e., the basins of attraction of the stable solutions), and the birth and death of stable solutions in global bifurcations, we study the location and interactions of the invariant manifolds (stable and unstable) of the saddle-type equilibrium MM and HH states as the parameters vary. The two asymmetric (immune) states, HM and MH, are sinks each with its own basin of attraction (see the trajectories spiraling inward in Fig. 2a,b). The LL, MM, and HH states are all located on the line of symmetry $B_1 = B_2$ or $b_d = 0$. On the line of symmetry the unstable MM state "separates" the LL and the HH state, see Figs. 3a and 3b. In Fig. 3 we plot the stable and unstable manifolds (i.e. the insets and outlets) of the saddle-type MM and HH states. The one dimensional stable manifold of the HH state lies on the line of symmetry. The HH state has a one dimensional unstable manifold that is normal to the line of symmetry. For $\sigma > 0$, this manifold asymptotically approaches the stable immune states (e.g., Fig. 2a,b, $\sigma = 0.002$); for $\sigma = 0$ it asymptotically connects with the unstable (saddle type) MM state, coinciding with its 1-dimensional stable manifold (see Fig. 3c,d). For $\sigma < 0$, this manifold approaches a negative virgin state $b_i = -\sigma$. (This is similar to Fig. 9c that arises in the study of the AB model.) It is not shown because $\sigma < 0$ or $b_i < 0$ is not meaningful. The global bifurcation at $\sigma = 0$, corresponding to the formation of this heteroclinic connection between the HH and the MM state, will prove to be very important for understanding the

behavior of the AB models. (A heteroclinic connection is a connection between two distinct saddle-type equilibria). The one-dimensional unstable manifold of the MM state lies on the line of symmetry, and approaches the LL state on one side and the HH state on the other side. At $\sigma = 0$, the one-dimensional stable manifold of the MM state is normal to the line of symmetry at the MM state, and coincides with the outset of HH state to form the heteroclinic connection shown in Fig. 3c,d. The light lines in Fig. 3c,d are the nullclines.

8.5. Bifurcations with respect to θ . The relation between the five equilibria is analyzed for $\sigma = 0.02$ in Fig. 4a,b where we use θ as a bifurcation parameter. Here heavy lines denote stable steady states and light lines unstable equilibria. The two curves in Fig. 4a,b correspond to the solutions of the cubic and the quadratic polynomial studied in Eqs. (29 & 30). The solution corresponding to the symmetric states is an S-shaped curve with turning points at $\theta \approx 2.3$ and $\theta \approx 8.6$ (see Eq. 29). For $0 < \theta < 2.3$ the only steady state is the virgin state. As θ increases, the MM and HH states are born together in a saddle-node bifurcation at $\theta \approx 2.331$. Thus, three symmetric states (i.e., LL, MM, and HH) coexist for $2.331 < \theta < 8.6$. The MM and the virgin LL state collide and disappear in a second saddle-node bifurcation at $\theta = 8.6$. The solution branch corresponding to the asymmetric states is parabolic with the turning point at $\theta \approx 2.338$ (see Eq. 30). This turning point intersects the cubic solution for the symmetric states. At this point the two asymmetric immune states bifurcate from the symmetric HH state in a pitchfork bifurcation. This pitchfork bifurcation and the saddle-node bifurcation at $\theta \approx 2.331$, where the MM and HH states are born, visually appear as a single bifurcation point in Fig. 4a,b because they occur so close in parameter space.

These bifurcations are shown in terms of nullclines in Figs. 4c-f. The nullclines corresponding to the saddle-node bifurcation where the virgin state disappears are shown in Fig. 4c,d. For $\theta = 8.6$ one can see the $b'_1 = 0$ and the $b'_2 = 0$ nullclines barely touching in Fig. 4c, and a circular part of the $b'_s = 0$ nullcline collapsing in Fig. 4d (see Fig. 2d for the ellipsoid origin of this circular $b'_s = 0$ nullcline). The reason for the loss of the virgin state is that with θ sufficiently large, the field generated by a low B cell population suffices for the initiation of proliferation.

The nullclines around $\theta = 2.3$ are shown in Figs. 4e,f. Decreasing θ from its default value of 10, the distance between the immune and the suppressed states decreases. In Fig. 4e this is illustrated by the two nullclines just barely touching in the middle. In Fig. 4f we see a circular part of the $b'_s = 0$ nullclines collapsing at $b_d = b_s = 0$ (see Fig. 2f for the ellipsoid origin of the circular $b'_s = 0$ nullcline).

Summarizing, the B model for $\sigma = 0.02$ has one steady state for $\theta < 2.3$. Then after two bifurcations at $\theta = 2.331$ and $\theta = 2.338$, five steady states for $2.34 < \theta < 8.6$, and three steady states for $\theta > 8.6$. The location of the last bifurcation point strongly depends on σ . The two bifurcation points are close together in Fig. 4 because we increased σ 10-fold above its default value. For lower values of σ , the bifurcation diagram remains qualitatively the same, but the two bifurcation points are widely separated and would require a logarithmic θ axis to visualize them in one graph.

9. Analysis of the Basic AB Model.

9.1. *Steady states.* The steady states of the basic AB model, defined by Eqs. (6), (7a,b) and (11a,b), can be analyzed in a manner comparable to that of the B model. At steady state, Eqs. (7) and (11) become

$$0 = \sigma + B_1(\rho f(A_2) - 1), \quad (35a)$$

$$0 = \sigma + B_2(\rho f(A_1) - 1), \quad (35b)$$

$$0 = \delta(B_1 f(A_2) - A_1) - \mu A_1 A_2, \quad (36a)$$

$$0 = \delta(B_2 f(A_1) - A_2) - \mu A_1 A_2. \quad (36b)$$

Hence, from (35) with $\sigma \neq 0$,

$$B_1 = \frac{\sigma}{1 - \rho f(A_2)}, \quad (37a)$$

$$B_2 = \frac{\sigma}{1 - \rho f(A_1)}. \quad (37b)$$

Equations (36) give, for $\delta \neq 0$,

$$B_1 = \frac{A_1(\mu A_2 + \delta)}{\delta f(A_2)}, \quad (38a)$$

$$B_2 = \frac{A_2(\mu A_1 + \delta)}{\delta f(A_1)}. \quad (38b)$$

Equating the right hand sides of Eqs (37a) and (38a), and the right hand sides of Eqs. (37b) and (38b), we obtain

$$\sigma \delta f(A_2) = A_1[1 - \rho f(A_2)][\mu A_2 + \delta], \quad (39a)$$

$$\sigma \delta f(A_1) = A_2[1 - \rho f(A_1)][\mu A_2 + \delta], \quad (39b)$$

equations whose solution give the steady state values of A_1 and A_2 .

One class of solutions are the symmetric solutions with $A_1 = A_2 = A$. From Eq. (39a), with $A_1 = A_2 = A$ and substituting Eq. (6) for $f(A)$, one obtains after some algebraic simplification

$$A[\mu\theta A^3 + (\mu + \delta\theta - \mu\theta^2(\rho - 1))A^2 + (\mu\theta + \delta - \delta\theta^2(\rho - 1))A + \delta\theta(1 - \sigma\theta)] = 0. \quad (40)$$

One solution is $A = 0$. In this state both antibody populations are zero, and we thus call this state ZZ (for zero-zero). The B cell populations, from Eq. (35), are $B_1 = B_2 = \sigma$. Hence the ZZ state is a virgin state in which no antibody is present to activate the network.

The other symmetric solutions are the real positive roots of the cubic given in brackets. Notice, that if $\sigma\theta < 1$, then the first and last term of the cubic are positive. If the two middle terms are both positive, then there are no sign changes and the cubic will have no real positive roots. However, if one or both of the middle terms is negative then there are two sign changes, and hence by Descartes' rule of signs the cubic has two or no real positive roots. For the parameters, $\rho = 2$, $\theta = 10$, $\delta = 0.1$, $\mu = 20$ and $\sigma = 1.48 \times 10^{-3}$, this latter case applies and we expect two or no real positive solutions. In fact, for these parameters, the constant term in the cubic is negligible compared to the other terms and

the equation can be approximated by a quadratic with two real positive roots. These roots correspond to the HH and MM states of the B model.

Once the symmetric states are found, Eqs. (39a) and (39b) can be analyzed for the asymmetric states. As in the case of the B model, a high order polynomial is obtained by combining these equations. This equation can be factored, with one factor being Eq. (40). The remaining factor is a sixth order polynomial, which we have not attempted to analyze algebraically. Below, we study both the symmetric and asymmetric solutions numerically, focusing on the bifurcation behavior of the solutions in the parameter regimes of immunological interest.

9.2. Comparison of the steady states of the basic AB model and the B model. Graphically, the steady states of the AB model can be compared with those of the B model shown in Figs. 2-4. First, note that for $\sigma = 0$ the equilibria of the B cell equations (i.e., Eqs. (7a,b)) depend only on the antibody concentrations. Thus, for $\sigma = 0$, we plot the B cell nullclines in the 2D phase space spanned by A_1 and A_2 (Fig. 5a), and the $b'_s = 0$ and $b'_d = 0$ nullclines in the projection spanned by a_s and a_d (Fig. 5b). The nullclines are very similar and intersect in the same set of equilibria as those of the B model in Fig. 3c,d. Thus, the solutions correspond to $A_i = \theta$ or $A_i = \theta^{-1}$. For $\sigma = 0$, the stable virgin state is located at $B_1 = B_2 = A_1 = A_2 = 0$. This virgin state is not represented in Fig. 5a,b due to the logarithmic axis.

Varying θ as the principal bifurcation parameter, for $\sigma = 1.48 \times 10^{-3}$, we show in Fig. 5c that the HM, MH, HH, and MM steady states are born around $\theta \approx 2.4$. The two curves in Fig. 5c are two parabolas corresponding to the symmetric and the asymmetric steady states, respectively. The parabola for the symmetric steady states is defined by the two real solutions of Eq. (40). Thus, the same set of activated states is present in the B and the basic AB models. The main difference is the stability of the HM and the MH state. In the basic AB model these states are stable only in between two Hopf bifurcations located at $\theta \approx 3$ and $\theta \approx 16$, respectively (see the short heavy lines). The two bifurcations around $\theta = 2.4$ at which the four activated states are born are the same as those in the B model. Decreasing θ we first find a pitchfork bifurcation where the HH, MH and HM states unite. Here the HH state becomes stable until it undergoes the saddle-node bifurcation where the HH and MM states merge. In the basic AB model the values of θ for which the two bifurcations occur are even more close (i.e., the distance is less than 10^{-8}).

9.3. Virgin States in the basic AB Model. We have seen above that the basic AB model has a new virgin ZZ state in which both antibody populations are zero. The ZZ state also exists in the CABG model when $B_1 = B_2 = \sigma$, $A_1 = A_2 = 0$ and hence $f(A_1) = f(A_2) = 0$, and $\hat{A}_1 = \hat{A}_2 = G_1 = G_2 = 0$. For our standard value of $\sigma = 1.48 \times 10^{-3}$, the LL state is negative in the AB model. However, Eq. (40) predicts a bifurcation when $\sigma\theta = 1$. Indeed, for $\theta = 10$, Fig. 5d shows that the LL state becomes positive at a transcritical bifurcation at $\sigma \approx 0.1$, and, for $\sigma = 1.48 \times 10^{-3}$, Fig. 5e shows another transcritical bifurcation around $\theta = 676$. (These bifurcation diagrams were done in the original coordinate system because $a = \ln 0$ is undefined).

Fig. 5d depicts the relationship between the two virgin states, ZZ and LL. The ZZ state is stable only when $\sigma < 0.1$. At $\sigma = 0.1$ there is an exchange of stability with the ZZ state becoming unstable and the LL state becoming stable. The light horizontal line is the continuation of the unstable ZZ state in which $B_1 = \sigma$ and $A_1 = 0$. The LL state is stable until it undergoes a saddle-node bifurcation at $\sigma \approx 0.56$. At this point the unstable MM state and the stable LL state unite and disappear. Summarizing, for $0 \leq \sigma < 0.1$ the ZZ state is the only stable virgin state, for $0.1 < \sigma < 0.56$ the LL state is the only stable virgin state, and for $\sigma > 0.56$ the only virgin state is the unstable ZZ state. For our default value $\sigma = 1.48 \times 10^{-3}$ there is only one virgin state, the stable ZZ state. The unstable LL state is located at negative population values and is not physically realizable.

Fig. 5e illustrates that we do not find the saddle-node bifurcation between the LL and the MM state when θ is decreased. For $\sigma = 1.48 \times 10^{-3}$, i.e., for $\sigma\theta < 0.1$ (see Eq. 40), the LL is negative in the AB model (see Fig. 5d). This changes the S-shaped curve of the symmetric solutions in the B model into the parabola shown in Fig. 5c. Instead, as θ is increased, the MM state and the ZZ state intersect at a transcritical bifurcation at an unrealistically high value of θ .

9.4. Antibody lifetime, δ and μ . Earlier work (De Boer & Hogeweg, 1989b; De Boer *et al.*, 1990) suggested that the oscillatory and chaotic behavior of the AB models depends on time scale differences between the B cell and antibody lifetimes. We therefore study the behavior of the basic AB model as a function of the parameters $\delta = d_A/d_B$ and $\mu = vd_C/d_B$.

First, if we set $\mu = 0$ and continue one of the immune states with δ as a bifurcation parameter, we find a supercritical Hopf bifurcation at $\delta = 0.98$. This Hopf bifurcation forms the boundary between steady ($\delta > 0.98$) and oscillatory ($\delta < 0.98$) behavior of the model. Using this point as a starting point, we can continue the Hopf bifurcation as a function of the two antibody lifetime parameters δ and μ , and thus are able to map the parameter region where the immune states are stable—and where the principal behavior of the model is stationary—and the region where the immune states are unstable—and where the principal behavior turns out to be oscillations and/or chaos. The immune states are stable in the shaded area in Fig. 6. The horizontal line in the Fig. 6 corresponds to our estimate of the antibody turnover $\delta = 0.1$. As shown, for $\delta = 0.1$, the Hopf bifurcation is located at $\mu \approx 12.6$. Here, however, the Hopf bifurcation is numerically found to be subcritical.

In Fig. 6 the Hopf bifurcation switches from supercritical to subcritical around $\delta = 0.2$ (not shown). At a subcritical Hopf bifurcation an unstable limit cycle is born “backwards”, surrounding the stable steady state, and hence local calculations around the steady state are not capable of predicting the attractor that is attained after the bifurcation. The attractor after such a “hard” bifurcation will lie far away (in phase space) from the steady state that loses stability, and hysteresis should be expected close to the bifurcation in parameter space. The dynamic behavior in this region of parameter space will be explored in the companion paper, Part II.

Summarizing, for our estimates of realistic values of the ratio of B cell and antibody lifetime, we expect the immune states, HM and MH, to be stable whenever μ is sufficiently large, i.e., roughly $\mu = vd_C/d_B > 10$. Using biological data we estimated that $\mu \approx 200$ and hence we predict that for immunologically realistic parameter values the immune states should be stable.

9.5. The basic AB model without complex formation. The basic AB model can be further simplified by ignoring complex formation, i.e., by setting $\mu = 0$. This enables us to pinpoint the effects of varying δ , the ratio of the antibody and B cell lifetimes. Figure 6 shows that, for $\mu = 0$, a Hopf bifurcation is located at $\delta = 0.98$. Thus for $\delta < 0.98$ all steady states except the virgin state are unstable. We will restrict ourselves to the parameter region $0 < \delta \leq 1$, in which antibodies live longer than B cells. (Computations in the region $1 < \delta < 10^9$, for $\mu = 0, 0.1, 1, 10, \dots, 10^4$, suggest that the immune states remain stable for larger values of δ). We will discuss the behavior in this regime at length because the more realistic AB models have similar behavior but are more difficult to explain.

An overview of the model's behavior is provided by Poincaré sections for different values of δ , see Fig. 7. The dots in Fig. 7 are values of a_d lying on the intersection of the limit cycles and the Poincaré plane $a_s = 0$. In the regions where no dots are plotted there does not appear to be any stable limit cycle behavior. The horizontal lines in Fig. 7 show the a_d value in the HM and MH state as a function of δ . These equilibrium a_d values are not sensitive to δ since they are located around $a_d \approx \ln \theta - \ln \theta^{-1} \approx 4.6$ and around $a_d \approx \ln \theta^{-1} - \ln \theta \approx -4.6$.

The left half of Fig. 7, i.e., $0 < \delta < 0.43$, shows a limit cycle that in the projection of Fig. 8 circles both immune states crossing the plane $a_s = 0$ twice (see Figs. 8a-d). For low values of δ the limit cycle is symmetric. A cycle is called symmetric when the two halves at each side of the lines of symmetry $a_d = 0$ and $b_d = 0$ are mirror images. Increasing δ decreases the limit cycle's amplitude, until the system goes through two pitchfork bifurcations at $\delta \approx 0.24$ and $\delta \approx 0.27$. In between these two symmetry breaking bifurcations we observe two stable asymmetric oscillations (symmetric to each other) that each wind around both immune states, and each cross the plane $a_s = 0$ twice (see e.g., Fig. 8a, b, $\delta = 0.25$). For $\delta > 0.27$, a symmetric limit cycle is again observed (see, for example, Fig. 8c,d with $\delta = 0.4$), until it breaks into two stable asymmetric limit cycles at a pitchfork bifurcation for $\delta = 0.43$ (in Fig. 9a we plot one of the two). At approximately $\delta = 0.44$ the limit cycle disappears. In the range $0.44 \leq \delta \leq 0.88$ we have been unable to find any sustained time-dependent behavior and all trajectories that we have examined approach the virgin ZZ state.

The loss of the limit cycle at $\delta = 0.44$ appears to be associated with a global bifurcation involving the unstable manifold of the HH state, see Fig. 9a, b. At $\delta = 0.43$ the outset of the HH state approaches the (asymmetric) limit cycle (we show only one branch of the outset in Fig. 9a). At $\delta = 0.44$, however, the HH outset approaches the virgin state (spiraling around the MM state). Here no limit cycle trajectories were found. Between $0.43 < \delta < 0.44$ we expect a heteroclinic connection between the HH and the MM state.

In the right part of Fig. 7 we can see, upon decreasing δ from one, that two asymmetric limit cycles are born at the supercritical Hopf bifurcation of the two immune states at $\delta = 0.98$. Each cycle winds around only one immune state and crosses the plane $a_s = 0$ only once (see Fig. 8e,f, $\delta = 0.95$). The unstable manifold of the HH state approaches the immune states above the Hopf at $\delta = 0.98$, and approaches the limit cycles below it. Decreasing δ the two limit cycles grow in amplitude until they apparently interact with the stable manifold of the MM state in between $0.88 < \delta < 0.89$. Here we expect another heteroclinic connection between the (one-dimensional) unstable manifold of the HH and the (one-dimensional) stable manifold of the MM state. At $\delta = 0.88$ the unstable manifold of the HH state asymptotically approaches the virgin ZZ state, and the limit cycle is lost.

Summarizing, for $\mu = 0$, we find symmetric and asymmetric limit cycles in the regions where the immune states are unstable, i.e., for $\delta < 0.98$. The parameter range over which the model is capable of predicting oscillatory behavior is strongly dependent on global bifurcations involving the stable and unstable manifolds of the MM and the HH states, respectively. In the parameter region where the outset of the HH state approaches the ZZ state, the model seems unable to sustain oscillatory behavior. The possible complications involving the loss of these limit cycles have not been studied here. We only conclude that the limit cycles ultimately disappear around $\delta = 0.44$ and around $\delta = 0.88$.

In Part II we will show that in more realistic versions of the AB model similar global bifurcations in the structure of the saddle invariant manifolds crucially affect the dynamic behavior of the model. Here, we would like to emphasize the similarity between the invariant manifold structure in Figs. 3 and 9, i.e., between the B and the basic AB model.

10. Discussion

In this paper we have modeled the idiotypic interaction between two B cell clones. Parts of the model have been analyzed before (De Boer, 1988; De Boer & Hogeweg, 1989a,b; De Boer & Perelson, 1991; Segel & Perelson, 1989; Perelson & Weisbuch, 1992). We have estimated most of the parameters in the model, and have introduced a new coordinate system that exploits the symmetry of the system. A detailed bifurcation analysis has provided insights into the relationships between the steady states and the structure of their invariant manifolds. We have suggested that the global bifurcations associated with the stable and unstable manifolds associated with the HH and MM states bracket the parameter interval over which the oscillatory behavior is observed. A companion paper, Part II, will demonstrate how crucial these global bifurcations in the invariant manifold structure are for understanding the complex (chaotic) dynamic behavior the model is capable of exhibiting.

The results show that the immune states of the B model, that lacks antibody, are typically stable and that the immune states of the basic AB model are typically unstable. The change in stability is caused by the difference in lifetime between the B cells and the antibodies. Here, the ratio of antibody and B cell turnover δ and the rate of complex removal μ determine the stability of the immune states. In Part II we will show that the

other parameter determining the antibody lifetime, i.e., λ , the antibody residence time in the spleen, also determines the stability of the immune states.

Although our parameter choices are based on biological estimates, we have had to choose certain parameters carefully in order to be able to attain sustained idiotypic activation in the form of either non-virgin stationary states or oscillatory behavior. Thus, we have chosen the IgM affinity and secretion rate ($K = 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}$ and $10^4 \text{ molecules s}^{-1}$) at the upper end of the feasible range. Additionally, we used a rather low estimate of the fluid volume of the spleen (i.e., 1% of the total volume). In the non-dimensional model these parameter choices are reflected in choosing small values for α and β . Thus relatively few B cells are required to attain the antibody concentration, $\sim (vK)^{-1}$, at which maximum crosslinking is achieved. For parameters of the non-dimensional model this choice is only reflected in σ . In other words, the virgin state is rather large in order to facilitate idiotypic activation.

Instead of using parameter values at the extremes of their feasible range, there are three modifications of the model that would also facilitate the attainment of significant IgM idiotypic interactions.

First, one may argue that B cells expressing IgM surface receptors are multireactive, and that many clones will be involved in any idiotypic interaction. For example, Holmberg *et al.* (1989) review data showing that natural antibodies in newborn mice have a probability of over 20% of reacting with other IgM antibodies in the animal. This implies that the idio- and anti-idio- populations, B_1 and B_2 , represent many clones rather than single clones. In terms of the model that would mean that the source of naive B cells from the bone marrow of any idio-, σ , is much higher than we have assumed. Although this may be realistic, making σ high may eliminate the virgin state (see Fig. 4b). Sustained oscillatory and chaotic behavior would however remain feasible properties of the model. Memory, i.e., the switch from a virgin state to an immune state on antigen stimulation, would however be lost as a model property if the virgin state disappeared. A model with a high value of σ might be most appropriate for the antibody dynamics during the development. Because a high value of σ eliminates the virgin state, the idiotypes are autonomously activated. This would correspond to the high connectivity of the immune network observed at birth. If one were to consider IgG antibodies rather than IgM, then affinities are typically much higher, and sustained autonomous network behavior would be easy to obtain without raising σ . With low values of σ a virgin state exists. Thus, switches between virgin and immune states within a network might have to be mediated by IgG rather than IgM.

Second, one might question the symmetry of our phenomenological equation $f(h)$ for the crosslinking process. Models for receptor crosslinking have shown that the concentration of crosslinked receptors on the cell surface is a symmetric log bell-shaped function of the ligand concentrations, when the ligand is bivalent (Perelson & DeLisi, 1980). For multivalent ligands, such as the ten-valent IgM molecules that we consider here, crosslinking curves are asymmetric, with a slow rising part and a steep falling part (Perelson, 1981). In terms of B cell activation this means that B cells may become activated by extremely low

concentrations of anti-idiotypic IgM. Since idiotypic activation is a self-reinforcing process, weak activation by low concentration may easily lead to full blown activation of idiotypic and anti-idiotypic B cells, with both classes of cells producing high IgM concentrations. In our phenomenological crosslinking function asymmetries can easily be incorporated by replacing the two saturation functions by Hill functions with different Hill coefficients.

Third, one may chose parameter values that correspond to lower affinities and lower secretion rates and conclude that idiotypic network interactions *per se* are not sufficient for maintaining stimulatory interactions and B cell proliferation. This opens the possibility that only those idiotypes that receive additional stimulation from, for instance, self antigens may be able to sustain network behavior with anti-idiotypes. Such an interpretation comes close to the hypothesis proposing that the immune network forms a central core of the immune system centered around self antigens (Vaz & Varela, 1978; Coutinho, 1989; Holmberg *et al.*, 1989). One can investigate this hypothesis in network models composed of many clones rather than just the two clones studied here. Such an analysis is quite feasible using the bitstring representation for antibodies (Farmer *et al.*, 1986; De Boer & Perelson, 1991) or shape space models (De Boer *et al.*, 1992b, 1992c; Segel & Perelson, 1988).

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Mark A. Taylor for reading and commenting on the manuscript. This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy. It was supported in part by NIH Grants AI28433 and RR06555 (A.P.), by NSF Grant CTS89-57213 (I.K.), and a Packard Foundation Fellowship (I.K.). It was also supported by the Santa Fe Institute through their Theoretical Immunology Program.

Legends to the Figures

Figure 1. Graph of the bell-shaped proliferation function, $f(a)$ given by Eq. (1), versus the field a . Equilibria involving proliferation, i.e., the immune and suppressed state, are located close to the intersections of $f(a)$ with the line $y = 1/\rho$, (see the text).

Figure 2. Trajectories, nullclines, and steady states of the B model in the original and in the SL coordinate system. The heavy lines are the $dB_2/dt = 0$ and the $db_s/dt = 0$ nullclines, the light lines are the $B'_1 = 0$ and the $b'_d = 0$ nullclines. The boxes (\square) denote the stability of an equilibrium: black means stable, open means unstable. In the first four panels we show the nullclines of the B model for the parameters: $\theta = 10, \rho = 2, \sigma = 0.002$. In the last two panels we set $\theta = 9$. Panels (a), (c), and (e) are in the old coordinate system B_1 and B_2 . Panels (b), (d), and (f) are in the SL coordinate system b_d and b_s . (a,b) nullclines (lines) and trajectories (dots). (c,d) nullclines and steady states. (e,f) nullclines and steady states for $\theta = 9$. In panel (c,e) we shaded the regions where $B'_2 > 0$. In panel (d,f) we have shaded the region $b'_s > 0$. The numerical methods for obtaining the Figures are discussed in the Appendix of the companion paper.

Figure 3. Stable and unstable invariant manifolds of the saddle-type MM and HH states in the B model. Parameters: $\theta = 10$, and $\rho = 2$. Panels (a) and (c) are in the original coordinate system B_1 and B_2 . Panels (b) and (d) are in the SL coordinate system b_d and b_s . The boxes (\square) denote the stability of an equilibrium: black means stable, open means unstable. The arrows indicate the dynamics on the invariant manifolds. (a,b) $\sigma = 0.002$: the light lines are the invariant manifolds of the MM and the HH state. The unstable manifold of the HH state will spiral into the stable immune states. (c,d) $\sigma = 0$: the light lines are the nullclines. The heavy lines the invariant manifolds. The unstable manifold of the HH state forms a heteroclinic connection with the MM state. Note that the LL state is lacking because $\sigma = 0$.

Figure 4. Bifurcation diagram of the B model varying θ as a bifurcation parameter. Parameters: $\rho = 2, \sigma = 0.02$ (we have increased σ ten-fold to improve the graphical representation). Heavy lines denote stable steady states, light lines denote unstable steady states. The gray lines form the projections of the 3D diagram onto each of the sides. Panels (a), (c), and (e) are in the original coordinate system B_1 and B_2 . Panels (b), (d), and (f) are in the SL coordinate system b_d and b_s . (a) Bifurcation diagram for $0 \leq \theta \leq 25$. (b) Bifurcation diagram for $0 \leq \theta \leq 50$. (c,d) Nullclines for parameter values close to the bifurcation point $\theta = 8.65$. (e,f) Nullclines for parameter values close to the two bifurcation points at $\theta \approx 2.33$.

Figure 5. Equilibria of the basic AB model. Parameters: $\theta = 10, \rho = 2, \delta = 0.1, \mu = 20$. In panels (a) and (b) we show the B cell nullclines in the antibody phase space for $\sigma = 0$, for the original and the SL coordinate system, respectively. The heavy lines denote the $B'_2 = 0$ and the $b'_s = 0$ nullclines, the light lines are the $B'_1 = 0$ and the $b'_d = 0$ nullclines. In panel (c) we show a bifurcation diagram of the basic AB model in the symmetric coordinate system varying $0 \leq \theta \leq 50$ as a bifurcation parameter for $\sigma = 1.48 \times 10^{-3}$. The heavy lines denote stable states, light lines denote unstable states, and the gray lines form the projections of the 3D diagram onto each of the sides. The four equilibria are born around $\theta \approx 2.4$. This involves a pitchfork and a saddle-node bifurcation (see the text). The HM and the MH states are stable in between two Hopf bifurcations located at $\theta \approx 3$ and $\theta \approx 16$ respectively. In panel (d) we show a 2D diagram of the steady state value of A_1 varying $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$ as a bifurcation parameter (for $\theta = 10$). The straight line is the continuation of the ZZ state; the folded line that of the LL state. The LL state has a limit point in which it connects with the MM state (i.e., a saddle-node bifurcation) at $\sigma \approx 0.56$. The ZZ and the LL continuations cross in a transcritical bifurcation located at $\sigma \approx 0.1$. Here the two states switch stability, and the LL state becomes unrealistic because of negative antibody populations. In panel (e) we show a 2D diagram of the steady state value of A_1 varying $0 \leq \theta \leq 1000$ as a bifurcation parameter (for $\sigma = 1.48 \times 10^{-3}$). The straight line is the continuation of the ZZ state; the curved line that of the MM state. The ZZ and the MM continuations cross in a transcritical bifurcation located at $\theta \approx 675$. Here the two states switch stability, and the MM state becomes unrealistic because of negative antibody populations. The two transcritical bifurcations in panels (d,e) correspond to $\sigma\theta = 1$ in Eq. (40).

Figure 6. A two parameter diagram of the Hopf bifurcation of the immune states of the AB model varying μ and δ as bifurcation parameters. Parameters: $\theta = 10, \rho = 2, \sigma = 1.48 \times 10^{-3}$. We have shaded the region where the immune states are stable. The light horizontal line represents our estimate of the antibody lifetime $\delta = 0.1$. For $\mu = 0$ the Hopf bifurcation is located at $\delta \approx 0.98$ and is supercritical. For $\delta = 0.1$ the Hopf bifurcation is located at $\mu \approx 12.68$ and is subcritical. The Hopf switches from supercritical to subcritical around $\delta = 0.2$ (not shown).

Figure 7. Partial bifurcation diagram of the AB model with respect to the parameter δ (the ratio of B cell and antibody lifetimes). Parameters: $0 \leq \delta \leq 1, \mu = 0, \theta = 10, \rho = 2, \sigma = 1.48 \times 10^{-3}$. The two horizontal lines show the equilibrium value of a_d in the two immune states as a function of δ . For each value of δ the dots are a_d values attained on limit cycle trajectories at a Poincaré section at the hyperplane $a_s = 0$. Most important is the fact that the limit cycles disappear at $\delta \approx 0.44$ and $\delta \approx 0.88$ where we find global bifurcations involving the invariant manifolds of the MM and HH states.

Figure 8. Representative shapes of the limit cycles found in the diagram of Fig. 7. The squares (\blacksquare) show the location of the four activated equilibria. Panels (a), (c), and (e) show antibody concentrations, panels (b), (d), and (f) show B cell populations. (a,b) $\delta = 0.25$: we show one of the two asymmetric cycles found in the parameter interval between the two pitchfork bifurcations. (c,d) $\delta = 0.4$: a symmetric cycle winding around, in this projection, both immune states that crosses the plane $a_s = 0$ twice (counting crossings in one direction only). (e,f) $\delta = 0.95$: two symmetric cycles each winding around, in this projection, only one immune state.

Figure 9. An illustration of the global bifurcations involved in the birth and death of the limit cycles of Fig. 7. We plot the unstable manifold of the HH state of the basic AB model for four values of δ . Parameters: $\mu = 0, \theta = 10, \rho = 2, \sigma = 1.48 \times 10^{-3}$. (a) $\delta = 0.43$: the manifold asymptotically approaches a stable asymmetric limit cycle surrounding one immune state. We show only one of the two sides of the manifold. (b) $\delta = 0.44$: the manifold asymptotically approaches the ZZ state. (c) $\delta = 0.88$: the manifold asymptotically approaches the ZZ state. (d) $\delta = 0.89$: each side of the manifold asymptotically approaches one of the two stable limit cycles around the HM and MH states. At $\delta \approx 0.98$ the HH states undergoes a Hopf-bifurcation and becomes stable. After this value the unstable manifold asymptotically approaches the asymmetric HM and MH states.

Literature

- Abramowitz, M. and I. A. Stegun. 1965. *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, p. 17, Dover: NY.
- Celada, F. 1971. The cellular basis of immunological memory. *Prog. Allergy*, **15**, 223–267.
- Coutinho, A. 1989. Beyond clonal selection and network. *Immunol. Revs.*, **110**, 63–87.
- Davies, K. A., V. Hird, S. Stewart, G. B. Sivolapenko, P. Jose, A. A. Epenetos and M. Walport. 1990. A study of *in vivo* complex formation and clearance in man. *J. Immunol.*, **144**, 4613–4620.
- De Boer, R. J. 1988. Symmetric idiotypic networks: connectance and switching, stability, and suppression. In: *Theoretical Immunology*, Part Two, (A. S. Perelson, ed.), pp. 265–289, *SFI Studies in the Science of Complexity*, Vol. III, Addison-Wesley: Redwood City CA.
- De Boer, R. J. and P. Hogeweg. 1989a. Memory but no suppression in low-Dimensional symmetric idiotypic networks. *Bull. Math. Biol.*, **51**, 223–246.
- De Boer, R. J. and P. Hogeweg. 1989b. Unreasonable implications of reasonable idiotypic network assumptions. *Bull. Math. Biol.*, **51**, 381–408.
- De Boer, R. J., I. G. Kevrekidis and A. S. Perelson. 1990. A simple idiotypic network model with complex dynamics. *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, **45**, 2375–2382.
- De Boer, R. J. and A. S. Perelson. 1991. Size and connectivity as emergent properties of a developing immune network. *J. Theor. Biol.*, **149**, 381–424.
- De Boer, R. J., A. U. Neumann, A. S. Perelson, L. A. Segel and G. Weisbuch. 1992a. Recent approaches to immune networks. In: *Proc. First European Biomathematics Conf.*, (V. Capasso and P. Demongeot, Eds.), Springer-Verlag: Berlin (in press).
- De Boer, R. J., L. A. Segel and A. S. Perelson. 1992b. Pattern formation in one and two-dimensional shape space models of the immune system. *J. Theor. Biol.*, **155**, 295–233.
- De Boer, R. J., J. D. van der Laan and P. Hogeweg. 1992c. Randomness and pattern scale in the immune network: a cellular automaton approach. In: *Thinking about Biology*, Part Two, (W.D. Stein and F. J. Varela, eds.), *SFI Studies in the Science of Complexity*, Vol. III, Addison-Wesley: Redwood City CA, in press.
- De Boer, R. J., Kevrekidis, I. G. & Perelson, A. S. 1993. Immune network behavior II: From oscillations to chaos and stationary states. *Bull. Math. Biol.*, (submitted).
- Dibrov, B. F., M. A. Livshits and M. V. Volkenstein. 1977. Mathematical model of immune responses. *J. Theor. Biol.*, **65**, 609–631.
- Farmer, J. D., N. H. Packard and A. S. Perelson. 1986. The immune system, adaptation, and machine learning. *Physica*, **22D**, 187–204.
- Fowler, A. C. 1981. Approximate solution of a model of biological immune responses incorporating delay. *J. Math. Biol.*, **13**, 23–45.
- Hoffmann, G. W. 1975. A theory of regulation and self-nonsel self discrimination in an immune network. *Eur. J. Immunol.*, **5**, 638–647.
- Holmberg, D., Å. Andersson, L. Carlson and S. Forsgen. 1989. Establishment and functional implications of B-cell connectivity. *Immunol. Rev.*, **110**, 89–103.
- Hooijkaas, H., R. Benner, J. R. Pleasants and B. S. Wostmann. 1984. Isotypes and specificities of immunoglobulins produced by germ-free mice fed chemically defined ultrafiltered “antigen-free” diet. *Eur. J. Immunol.*, **14**, 1127–1130.

- Jerne, N. K. 1974. Towards a network theory of the immune system. *Ann. Immunol. (Inst. Pasteur)*, **125 C**, 373–389.
- Landis, E. M. and J. R. Pappenheimer. 1962. Exchange of substances through the capillary walls. In: *Handbook of Physiology, Circulation II*, Amer. Physiol. Soc.: Washington, DC.
- Lundkvist, I., A. Coutinho, F. Varela and D. Holmberg. 1989. Evidence for a functional idiotypic network amongst natural antibodies in normal mice. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA.*, **86**, 5074–5078.
- Pabst, R. 1988. The role of the spleen in lymphocyte migration. In: *Migration and Homing of Lymphoid Cells*, Vol. I, (A. J. Husband, ed.), pp. 63–84, CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL.
- Pereira, P., L. Forni, E. L. Larsson, M. Cooper, C. Heusser and A. Coutinho. 1986. Autonomous activation of B and T cells in antigen-free mice. *Eur. J. Immunol*, **16**, 685–688.
- Perelson, A. S. 1981. Receptor clustering on a cell surface. III. Theory of receptor cross-linking by multivalent ligands: Description by ligand states. *Math. Biosci.*, **53**, 1–39.
- Perelson, A. S. 1984. Some mathematical models of receptor clustering by multivalent antigens. In: *Cell Surface Dynamics: Concepts and Models*, (A. S. Perelson, C. DeLisi and F. W. Wiegel, Eds.). pp. 223–276. Marcel Dekker: New York.
- Perelson, A. S. 1988. *Theoretical Immunology*, Part Two, (A. S. Perelson, ed.), *SFI Studies in the Science of Complexity*, Vol. III, Addison-Wesley, Redwood City, CA.
- Perelson, A. S. 1989. Immune network theory. *Immunol. Rev.*, **110**, 5–36.
- Perelson, A. S. and C. DeLisi. 1980. Receptor clustering on a cell surface. I. Theory of receptor cross-linking by ligands bearing two chemically identical functional groups. *Math. Biosci.*, **48**, 71–110.
- Perelson, A. S. and G. Weisbuch. 1992. Modeling immune reactivity in secondary lymphoid organs. *Bull. Math. Biol.*, **54**, 649–672.
- Richter, P. H. 1975. A network theory of the immune system. *Eur. J. Immunol.*, **5**, 350–354
- Richter, P. H. 1978. The network idea and the immune response. In: *Theoretical Immunology*, (G. I. Bell, A. S. Perelson and G. H. Pimbley, Jr., eds.) Marcel Dekker: New York.
- Segel L. A. and A. S. Perelson. 1988. Computations in Shape Space. A New Approach to Immune Network Theory. In: *Theoretical Immunology, Part One, SFI Studies in the Sciences of Complexity*, (A. S. Perelson, ed.), pp. 349–382, Addison-Wesley, Redwood City, CA.
- Segel L. A. & Perelson, A. S. 1989. Shape space analysis of immune networks. In: *Cell to Cell Signalling: From Experiments to Theoretical Models*, (A. Goldbeter, ed.), pp. 273–283, Academic Press: New York.
- Segel L. A. and A. S. Perelson. 1991. Exploiting the diversity of time scales in the immune system: A B-cell antibody model. *J. Stat. Phys.*, **63**, 1113–1131.
- Sprent, J. 1989. T lymphocytes and the thymus. In: *Fundamental Immunology*, (W. E. Paul, ed.), pp. 69–93, Raven Press: New York.
- Stewart, J. and F. J. Varela. 1989. Exploring the meaning of connectivity in the immune network. *Immunol. Rev.*, **110**, 37–61.

- Stewart, J. and F. J. Varela. 1990. Dynamics of a class of immune networks. II. Oscillatory activity of cellular and humoral components. *J. Theor. Biol.*, 144, 103-115.
- Strand, F. L. 1978. *Physiology*, p. 559, Macmillan: New York.
- Varela, F. J. and A. Coutinho. 1991. Second generation immune networks. *Immunol. Today*, 12, 159-166.
- Varela, F. J., A. Coutinho, B. Dupire and N. N. Vaz. 1988. Cognitive networks: immune, neural, and otherwise. In: *Theoretical Immunology*, Part Two, (A. S. Perelson, ed.), pp. 359-375, *SFI Studies in the Science of Complexity*, Vol. III, Addison-Wesley, Redwood City, CA.
- Varela, F. J., A. Anderson, G. Dietrich, A. Sundblad, D. Holmberg, M. Kazatchkine and A. Coutinho. 1991. The population dynamics of natural antibodies in normal and autoimmune individuals. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.*, 88, 5917-5921.
- Vaz, N. N. and F. J. Varela. 1978. Self and non-sense: an organism-centered approach to immunology. *Medical Hypothesis*, 4, 231-267.
- Weisbuch, G., R. J. De Boer and A. S. Perelson. 1990. Localized memories in idiotypic networks. *J. Theor. Biol.*, 146, 483-499.
- Weiss, L. (1972). *The Cells and Tissues of the Immune System*, Prentice-Hall: Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey.

















